

**EVALUATION  
CHU SAINTE-JUSTINE FOUNDATION SCHOLARSHIP  
2018-2019**

Evaluation grid

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>MSc</b>	<b>PhD</b>	<b>Postdoc</b>	<b>MD</b>
Academic performance	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>
Overall appreciation of the application	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>15</b>
Research and/or clinic internships	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>15</b>
Scholarships and awards	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>
Publications and communications	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>
Research project	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>
Motivation letter	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
Career plan	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>-</b>
Letter of recommendation	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>

## Description of the evaluation criteria

Criteria	Description
<b>Academic performance</b>	Evaluation according to the academic results obtained and the progression of the student's file over the years.
<b>Overall appreciation of the application</b>	Evaluation of the quality and content of the presentation and the writing of the application, the potential of the candidate based on his experience, the quality of publications and communications, the impact factor of the scientific journals in which he published (in link with its research area) as well as for the role and contribution of the student in the proposed project.
<b>Research and/or clinic internships</b>	Based on the number, duration, content and quality of the student's internships and research work experience. Clinical internships relevant to the student's application and research project may also be considered.
<b>Scholarships and awards</b>	According to the number and prestige of the scholarships, prizes and distinctions obtained.
<b>Publications and communications</b>	According to the number and prestige of publications and communications (oral and poster). For publications, the author rank and the impact factor will be considered. For communications, the type of presentation (oral or poster) and the importance of the event (local, national or international) will be considered.
<b>Research project</b>	According to the clarity, feasibility, coherence and relevance of the different elements of the project (rationale, research hypotheses, objectives, methods, analyzes, feasibility, contributions to the advancement of knowledge). Presentation of the project (including flow, structure, and quality of writing) as well as respecting the word limit (max 1500 words) and references (max 6 references) may affect the score.
<b>Motivation letter</b>	For scientific motivation, the career goals and objectives and identification of clear interests. The fit between the training already acquired and the proposed project as well as the reasons for the choice of laboratory and/or supervisor will also be taken into consideration. The quality of the writing and respecting the word limit (max 750 words) may affect the score.
<b>Career plan</b> <i>*Postdoc only*</i>	Points will be awarded for the clarity and relevance of the scientific career plan. The quality of the writing and the respect of the word limit (max 750 words) may affect the score.
<b>Letter of recommendation</b>	The points will be awarded according to the choice of the respondent (relevance in the path of the student) as well as the notes and justifications provided by the respondent.  The respondent cannot be the current research director or co-director of the student or a member of the current laboratory.