EVALUATION
CHU SAINTE-JUSTINE FOUNDATION SCHOLARSHIP
2019-2020

Evaluation grid

Criteria	MSc MD/MSc	PhD MD/PhD	Postdoc
Academic performance	25	15	-
Research and/or clinical internships	15	10	-
Scholarships and awards	10	10	15
Publications and communications	**10**	**20**	**35**
- Publications	5	13	25
- Conferences et presentations	5	7	10
Research project	**25**	**30**	**30**
- Clarity and conciseness of the research topic	5	6	6
- Clarity and consistency between the hypotheses and objectives	6	6	6
- Relevance of the methods and analyses	6	6	6
- Feasibility	5	6	6
- Originality of the project and contribution to the advancement of knowledge	3	6	6
Motivation letter and justification of the place of training	10	10	15
Leadership and implication	5	5	5
TOTAL POINTS	**100**	**100**	**100**

Description of the evaluation criteria

Criteria	Description
Academic performance	Evaluation according to the academic results obtained and the progression of the student's file over the years.
Research and/or clinic internships	Based on the number, duration, content and quality of the student's internships and research work experience. Clinical internships relevant to the student's application and research project may also be considered.
Scholarships and awards	Evaluation according to the number and prestige of the scholarships, prizes and distinctions obtained. Stipends awarded by the research director will not be considered.
Publications and communications	Evaluation according to the number and prestige of publications and communications (oral and poster). For publications, the author rank and the impact factor will be considered. For communications, the type of presentation (oral or poster) and the importance of the event (local, national or international) will be considered.
Research project	The research project must be described in such a way that an evaluator outside the field can understand. Evaluation according to: - Clarity and conciseness of the research topic - Clarity and consistency between the hypotheses and objectives - Relevance of the methods and analyses - Feasibility - Originality of the project and contribution to the advancement of knowledge Presentation of the project (including flow, structure, and quality of writing) as well as respecting the word limit (max 1500 words) and references (max 6 references) may affect the score.
Motivation letter and justification of the place of training	Evaluation according to the scientific motivation, the career goals and objectives and identification of clear interests. The fit between the training already acquired and the proposed project as well as the reasons for the choice of laboratory and/or supervisor will also be taken into consideration. The quality of the writing and respecting the word limit (max 750 words for MSc and PhD students) may affect the score. **Postdoctoral fellows** must include a detailed **scientific career plan** in their motivation letter. The focus should be on career goals in the short, medium and long term. Points will be awarded according to the clarity and relevance of the career plan. The quality of the writing and the respect of the word limit (max 1200 words) may affect the score.
Leadership and implication	Evaluation based on the student's involvement in various committees or associations, volunteering and other relevant extracurricular activities demonstrating the student's leadership.

2019-02-18/ma